## REMARKS

Careful consideration has been given to the Official Action of February 15, 2005 and the above amendatory action has been taken to place the application into condition for allowance.

In this regard the Examiner has allowed claims 44-64, 67, 68, 70, 72,73, 75, 77-79 and 83-85. Claims 71 and 76 have been objected to on grounds of informality.

Claims 42, 43, 65, 66, 69 and 82 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103. These claims have been cancelled thereby leaving only allowed claims in the application.

Claims 44, 83 and 84 have been rewritten in independent form incorporating the subject matter from their respective parent claims.

The dependencies of some claims have been changed so that they are now dependent on claim 44 instead of cancelled claim 42. Also, claim 49 has been amended to change its dependency since as it now stands claim 49 is inconsistent with its immediate parent (claim 48) and should be dependent from claim 47.

Claim 71 which has been objected to correctly states that the impedance measuring unit measures impedance of the one or more high voltage pulses at least one frequency. The word "at" is not redundant but indicates the frequency at which the voltage pulses are applied. Claim 76 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner.

Since only allowed claims remain in the application, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance early notice of which would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

JULIAN H. COHEN c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61<sup>st</sup> Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 20302

Tel. No. (212) 708-1887